UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,433	10/14/2003	W. Todd Daniell	190250-1580	7279
	7590 10/19/200° YDEN, HORSTEMEY	EXAMINER		
AT&T BLS Intellectual Property, Inc. 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY			LAI, MICHAEL C	
SUITE 1500	APAKKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, G	A 30339	•	2157	
•				
	1		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	1		10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/686,433	DANIELL, W. TO	DANIELL, W. TODD			
		Examiner	Art Unit	`			
		Michael C. Lai	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this cor Period for Reply	nmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the preafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the properties of the propertie	THE MAILING DA ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 is communication. Imum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, nonths after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THE OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE OF THE	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 06 Au	aust 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	· · ·	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in con-							
closed in accordance with the							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,8,10,11,13,16</u>	,18-20,22,23 and	1 25 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected							
8) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,8,10,11,13,16</u>	,18-20,22,23, <u>25</u>	are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement	ent.			
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to	by the Evamine						
	<u> </u>		v the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None		priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the p		have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re		Paper No(s)	Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application				
. apo, 110/0/111ali Dato		-/	-				

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-2, 10-11, 18-19, 20, 25, drawn to automatically launching an IM session upon determining a sender of a received email message is present, classified in class 709, subclass 206.
- II. Claims 4, 7, 8, 13, 16, 22, 23, drawn to providing a programming interface to receive user input for actions, classified in class 715, subclass 733 through 759.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a programming interface is not needed for determining whether a sender of a received email message is currently present at an instant messaging account. The subcombination has separate utility such as in an interface customization or adaption (e.g., client /server) or a user interactive multicomputer data transfer (e.g. file transfer).

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are

Art Unit: 2157

subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Anthony F. Bonner Jr. on 10/12/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an

Art Unit: 2157

election being made because the examiner couldn't reach the applicant's representative.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Lai whose telephone number is (571) 270-3236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 EST.

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Lai 120CT2007

YVES DALENCOURT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100